

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/772,756	FOX ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tara L. Mayo	3671	

All Participants:

(1) Tara L. Mayo.

(2) Jon O. Nelson.
Status of Application: Finally Rejected

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 July 2005
Time: 16:10
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Proposed art rejections and potential 112, first paragraph
Claims discussed:
1, 2 and 12
Prior art documents discussed:
See Attached PTO-892
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative agreed to the changes on the attached Examiner's Amendment to further define the claimed invention over the prior art of record and to obviate a rejection under 35 USC §112, first paragraph.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)